

REMARKS

Reconsideration of this application is respectfully requested in view of the foregoing amendment and the following remarks.

Claims 6-19 were pending. By this Amendment, Claims 7 and 13 have been canceled without prejudice and Claims 10, 14, 16, 17, 20, 22, and 23 have been amended to be in better form. No new matter has been added. After entry of this Amendment, Claims 7-12 and 14-29 will be currently pending, of which Claims 20 and 10 are independent claims.

Entry of this Amendment is proper under 37 C.F.R. §1.116 since the amendments: (a) place the application in condition for allowance for the reasons discussed herein; (b) do not raise any new issues requiring further search and/or consideration on the part of the Examiner as the Amendment merely clarifies the claimed features of the invention; (c) satisfy a requirement of form asserted in the previous Office Action; (d) do not present any additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims; and (e) place the application in better form for appeal, should an appeal be necessary. The Amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented because it is made in response to objections raised in the Final Rejection. Entry of the Amendment is thus respectfully requested.

In the Office Action mailed October 18, 2007, the Examiner objected to the drawings under 37 CFR 1.83(a) as failing to show the limitation of "a transparent region is aligned with respective pixel of the plurality of pixels of the rear display surface" of Claims 10 and 20. In response, Applicants respectfully point out that the reference symbol "W" shown in Figs. 2(a) and 6(a) is the "transparent region" recited in current

Claims 10 and 12. Therefore, the transparent region is indeed shown in the drawings and no amendments to the drawings are necessary.

Claims 10 and 20 were objected to for informalities. In addition, Claims 6-29 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph as being indefinite and first paragraph as failing to comply with the written description requirement.

Claims 10 and 20 have been amended to correct the informalities and further, to overcome the rejections of indefiniteness and failure of meeting the rewritten description. Claims 10, 14, 16, 17, 20, 22, and 23 have also been amended to overcome the rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112, first and second paragraphs.

For example, Claims 10 and 20 recite that the plurality of display devices comprise a front display device having a front display surface and a rear display device aligned next to said front display device having a rear display surface, said front display surface having a plurality of pixels for displaying image data and said rear display surface having a plurality of pixels for displaying image data and displaying the image data in a substantially same direction with a direction of the image data being displayed on said front display surface, each of said plurality of pixels of the front and rear display surfaces comprises at least one sub-pixel, and each sub-pixel of said front display surface includes a displaying region for displaying the image data of said front display surface, and a transparent region that is adjacent to the display region and is aligned with a corresponding sub-pixel of the rear display surface so that the image data on said rear display surface is transmitted through the transparent region to a viewer. It is believed that such amendments have met the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 112, first and second paragraphs.

Claims 14, 16, and 17 have been amended to be clearer. Furthermore, Claims 22 and 23 have been amended to define that said front display includes more than one transparent regions, each of which is aligned with a corresponding pixel of the plurality of pixels of subsequent display devices located behind the front display device so that the image data on the subsequent display device is transmitted through the more than one transparent regions to the viewer, and each of the transparent regions of said front display surface has a width sufficient to be aligned with a corresponding pixel of the plurality of pixels of subsequent display devices located behind the front display device so that the image data on the subsequent display device is transmitted through the transparent region to the viewer.

Accordingly, after these amendments as described above, Applicants respectfully submit that the rejection of the currently pending claims 6, 8-12 and 14-29 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first and second paragraphs should be withdrawn.

With regards to the Notice to Applicant described in paragraph 10 of the Office Action, Applicants have canceled Claims 7 and 13 in response to the Examiner's Notice. As these claims define a "split image data" that may cause the Examiner's confusion, Applicants respectfully submit that the cancellation of these claims should have clarified the Examiner's concern.

Conclusion

For all of the above reasons, it is respectfully submitted that the currently pending claims are in condition for allowance and a Notice of Allowability is earnestly solicited.

Should the Examiner determine that any further action is necessary to place this application into better form the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned representative at the telephone number listed below.

In the event this paper is not considered to be timely filed, the Applicants hereby petition for an appropriate extension of time. The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fee deficiency or credit any overpayment associated with this communication to Deposit Account No. 01-2300 referencing client matter number 107156-00244.

Respectfully submitted,



Wan-Ching Montfort
Registration No. 56,127

Customer No. 004372
Arent Fox, LLP
1050 Connecticut Ave., N.W.
Suite 400
Washington, D.C. 20036-5339
Telephone No. (202) 857-6000
Facsimile No. (202) 857-6395